Kidney Disease, Hypertension and Cardiovascular Risk

George Bakris, MD, FAHA, FASN Professor of Medicine Director, Hypertensive Diseases Unit The University of Chicago-Pritzker School of Medicine Chicago, IL

Chronic Kidney Disease **Definition**

Kidney damage for ≥ 3 months Structural or functional abnormalities with or without decreased GFR Pathological abnormalities Abnormal blood or urine tests Abnormal imaging

GFR <60 mL/min/1.73m2 for \geq 3 months

K/DOQI Clinical Practice Guidelines for Chronic Kidney Disease: Evaluation, Classification, and Stratification. NKF 2002

The Five Stages of CKD



Awareness of CKD per CKD Stage

	Stage 1 and ACR 30 mg/g	Stage 2 and ACR 30 mg/g	Stage 3	Stage 4	Stage 5
2000–2002 Number (% aware)	190 3.16 (0.66–5.65)	313 2.56 (0.80–4.31)	2113 4.45 (3.57–5.33)	112 15.18 (8.50–21.85)	25 40.00 (20.40–60.74)
2003–2005 Number (% aware)	1,187 4.55 (3.36–5.74)	1,846 5.25 (4.24–6.27)	6,753 5.69 (5.13– 6.24)	315 38.10 (32.72– 43.47)	53 47.10 (33.60– 60.74)

Values shown are number or percent (95% confidence interval); awareness defined as a positive response to the question : *Have you ever been told you have kidney disease*? Albumin-creatinine ratio in mg/g may be converted to mg/mmol by dividing by 8.84.

Saabs G et.al. Am J Kidney Dis. 2008;52:382-386



Incidence of Kidney Failure

per million population, 1990, by HSA, unadjusted





Incidence of Kidney Failure

per million population, 2000, by HSA, unadjusted



ESRD Incident Rates Adjusted by Age & Race/Ethnicity



Cardiovascular Comorbidities,

5% Medicare Sample, by Diabetes and CKD Status 1999-2000



Table 2. Adjusted Hazard Ratio for Death from Any Cause, Cardiovascular Events, and Hospitalization among 1,120,295 Ambulatory Adults, According to the Estimated GFR.*

Estimated GFR	Death from Any Cause	Any Cardiovascular Event	Any Hospitalization	
	adjusted hazard ratio (95 percent confidence interval)			
≥60 ml/min/1.73 m²†	1.00	1.00	1.00	
45–59 ml/min/1.73 m²	1.2 (1.1–1.2)	1.4 (1.4–1.5)	1.1 (1.1–1.1)	
30-44 ml/min/1.73 m²	1.8 (1.7–1.9)	2.0 (1.9–2.1)	1.5 (1.5-1.5)	
15–29 ml/min/1.73 m ²	3.2 (3.1-3.4)	2.8 (2.6–2.9)	2.1 (2.0-2.2)	
<15 ml/min/1.73 m²	5.9 (5.4–6.5)	3.4 (3.1-3.8)	3.1 (3.0-3.3)	

* The analyses were adjusted for age, sex, income, education, use or nonuse of dialysis, and the presence or absence of prior coronary heart disease, prior chronic heart failure, prior ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack, prior peripheral arterial disease, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, cancer, a serum albumin level of 3.5 g per deciliter or less, dementia, cirrhosis or chronic liver disease, chronic lung disease, documented proteinuria, and prior hospitalizations.

† This group served as the reference group.

Risk Factors for CKD

- Diabetes
- Hypertension
- Older age
- Family history of kidney disease or diabetes
- Male gender

- Racial/Ethnic Background:
 - African American
 - Native American
 - Asian-American
 - Pacific Islander
 - Latin American
- Tobacco Use

17 Year Follow-Up from VA Hypertension Clinics on ESRD



H. M. Perry, Jr., et.al Early predictors of 15-year end-stage renal disease in hypertensive patients. *Hypertension* 25 (4 Pt 1):587-594, 1995.



Use of MAU, CRP, and BNP as Predictors of Mortality and CV Events



Hazard Ratio (95% CI) for Values Above 80th Percentile

Adjusted for age, sex, smoking, DM, HTN, Afib, LVEF<50%, LVH, total cholesterol, serum creatinine. Mortality analysis based on 91 deaths, and CV event data based on 63 events due to missing covariates. The 80th percentile corresponds to values more than 5.85 pg/mL for NT-proBNP, 5.76 mg/L for CRP, and 18.4 mg/g for MAU.

Kistorp K, et al. JAMA. 2005;293:1609-1616.

VOLUME FRACTION OF THE MESANGIUM (Vv Mes) IN THREE GROUPS OF DIABETIC PATIENTS



The Early Natural History of Nephropathy in Type 1 Diabetes: Predictors of 5-Year Urinary Albumin Excretion Rate Patterns in Initially Normoalbuminuric Patients Steinke J et.al. Diabetes 2005;54:2164

All Groups had mesangial expansion and **stable** GFR at 5 years



Clinical Trials and Renal Outcomes Based on Proteinuria Reduction

- **Increased Time to Dialysis**
- (30-35% proteinuria reduction)
- Captopril Trial-N Engl J Med, 1993
- AASK Trial-JAMA, 2001
- RENAAL-N Engl J Med, 2001
- IDNT-N Engl J Med, 2001

Hart P & Bakris GL Managing Hypertension in the Diabetic Patient. IN: Egan BM, Basile JN, and Lackland DT (eds.) <u>Hot Topics in Hypertension</u> Hanley and Belfus, Philadelphia, 2004, pp.249-252.

No Change in Time to Dialysis (NO proteinuria reduction) DHPCCB arm-IDNT DHPCCB arm-AASK **Meta-analysis of Trials on Proteinuria Reduction with** RAS Blockade-Ratio of means (95% CI)* for change in proteinuria, by randomized therapy, over two follow-up intervals Randomized **1-4 Months** 5-12 Months Therapy 0.57(0.47 - 0.68)0.66(0.63-0.69)ARBs vs placebo **ARBs vs ACE-I** 0.99(0.92 - 1.05)1.08(0.96-1.22)**ARBs vs CCBs** 0.69(0.62-0.77)0.62(0.55-0.70)0.76(0.68-0.85)0.75(0.61-0.92)ARB+ACE-I vs **ARBs** 0.78(0.72-0.84)0.82(0.67 - 1.01)ARB+ACE-I vs ACE-

Kunz R et al. Ann Intern Med 2008; 148:30-48

Bold=significant P<0.01 at 5-12 Months

Design of Combination Angiotensin Receptor Blocker and Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitor for Treatment of Diabetic Nephropathy (VA NEPHRON-D)

Linda F. Fried,* William Duckworth,[†] Jane Hongyuan Zhang,[‡] Theresa O'Connor,[‡] Mary Brophy,[§] Nicholas Emanuele,[¶] Grant D. Huang,[∥] Peter A. McCullough,^{**} Paul M. Palevsky,* Stephen Seliger,^{††} Stuart R. Warren,^{‡‡} and Peter Peduzzi,[‡] for VA NEPHRON-D Investigators

*Veterans Affairs (VA) Pittsburgh Healthcare System and Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylviania; [†]Carl T. Hayden VA Medical Center, School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, and Department of Medicine, University of Arizona, Phoenix, Arizona, [‡]West Haven VA Cooperative Studies Program Coordinating Center, West Haven, Connecticut; [§]VA Boston Healthcare System and Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts; [¶]Hines VA and Department of Medicine, Loyola University Medical Center, Hines Illinois; ^ICooperative Studies Program Headquarters, VA Office Research and Development, Washington, District of Columbia; **Department of Medicine, William Beaumont Hospital, Royal Oak, Michigan; ^{††}VA Maryland Medical Center and Department of Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, ^{‡†}VA Cooperative Studies Program Research Pharmacy and University of New Mexico College of Pharmacy, Albuquerque, New Mexico

Both angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) can slow the progression of diabetic nephropathy. Even with ACEI or ARB treatment, the proportion of patients who progress to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) remains high. Interventions that achieve more complete blockade of the renin-angiotensin system, such as combination ACEI and ARB, might be beneficial. This approach may decrease progression of nondiabetic kidney disease. In diabetic nephropathy, combination therapy decreases proteinuria, but its effect in slowing progression is unknown. In addition, the potential for hyperkalemia may limit the utility of combined therapy in this population. VA NEPHRON-D is a randomized, double-blind, multicenter clinical trial to assess the effect of combination losartan and lisinopril, compared with losartan alone, on the progression of kidney disease in 1850 patients with diabetes and overt proteinuria.

The primary endpoints are time to (1) reduction in estimated GFR (eGFR) of > 50% (if baseline < 60 ml/min/1.73 m²); (2) reduction in eGFR of 30 ml/min/1.73 m² (if baseline \geq 60 ml/min/1.73 m²); (3) progression to ESRD (need for dialysis, renal transplant, or eGFR < 15 ml/min/1.73 m²); or (4) death. The secondary endpoint is time to change in eGFR or ESRD. Tertiary endpoints are cardiovascular events, slope of change in eGFR, and change in albuminuria at 1 yr. Specific safety endpoints are serious hyperkalemia (potassium > 6 mEq/L, requiring admission, emergency room visit, or dialysis), all-cause mortality, and other serious adverse events.

This paper discusses the design and key methodological issues that arose during the planning of the study. Cltn J Am Soc Nephrol 4: 361–368, 2009. doi: 10.2215/CJN.03350708

In 2003, approximately 50% of incident ESRD was due to diabetes; of these cases, 90% were due to type 2 diabetes (1). The overall rate of ESRD secondary to diabetes has risen 68% since 1992 (1). Use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) or angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) can slow the progression of diabetic kidney disease. For example, the Reduction of Endpoints in Non-Insulin Dependent Diabetes

study examined losartan versus placebo added to a standard antihypertensive regimen in 1513 individuals with type 2 diabetes and overt nephropathy (2). Losartan decreased the risk of doubling of serum creatinine, ESRD, or death by 16%; decreased the risk of doubling of serum creatinine by 28%; and decreased the risk of ESRD by 25% compared with placebo. In the Irbesartan in Diabetic Nephropathy (IDNT) study, which examined irbesartan versus amlodipine versus placebo in 1715 individuals with overt nephropathy, use of ARBs decreased the risk of doubling of serum creatinine, end-stage renal disease or death by 20%, decreased the risk of doubling of serum creatinine by 33% and decreased the risk of end-stage renal disease by 23% compared with placebo (3). Despite the benefit of ARBs

Mellitus with the Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan (RENAAL)

Copyright @ 2009 by the American Society of Nephrology

ISSN: 1555-9041/402-0361

Fried L et.al. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 4: 361–368, 2009

Received July 7, 2008. Accepted October 2, 2008.

Published online ahead of prini. Publication date available at www.cjasn.org.

Correspondence: Dr. Linda F. Fried, MD, MPH, VA Pltisburgh Healthcare System, University Drive Division, Malisiop 111F-U, Pltisburgh, PA 15240. Phone: 412-360-6181; Fax: 412-360-6908; E-mail: Linda Friedelwa.gov

Aldosterone Blockade

- RALES (Pitt B et.al. N Engl J Med 1999)
- EPHESUS (Pitt B et.al. N Engl J Med, 2003)
- EPHESUS -K(Pitt B et.al. Circulation, 2008)
- Meta-analysis-antiproteinuric effects (Bomback A Am J Kidney Dis 2008)

following Aldosterone Antagonism in Nephropathy

Odds Ratio	P value
8.71 (2.89-24.8)	< 0.0001
7.76 (2.13-29.8)	<0.0001
2.97 (1.14-21.3)	<0.001
3.98 (0.89-27.1)	0.09
	Odds Ratio 8.71 (2.89-24.8) 7.76 (2.13-29.8) 2.97 (1.14-21.3) 3.98 (0.89-27.1)

>15 mmHg in systolic BP Khosla N et.al. Am J Nephrol 2009;30:418

Major Factor Limiting Antiproteinuric Effects of RAS Blockade

Sodium Intake Limits Antiproteinuric Effects of RAS Blockade

 Sodium Intake Above 4 grams per day reduces antiproteinuric effects of RAS Blockade by up to 50%-

(Heeg et.al Kidney International, 1989;36:272)

Use of thiazide diuretics only partially restores
 antiproteinuric effect

(Buter, H. et.al. Nephrol Dialysis & Transpl 1998:16;1682)

 Mechanism for increased sodium on proteinuria is thought to be related to increased oxidant stress (partially) and increases in blood pressure (partially)

(Mishra I et.al. Curr Hypertens Rep 2005;7:385;Laffer C et.al. Hypertension 2006;47:434)

Summary of Studies on Nephropathy Progression



Modified from Bakris GL et.al.Am J Kidney Dis, Sept. 2000 MDRD, N Engl J Med, 1993; AIPRI, N Engl J Med, 1996; REIN, Lancet, 1997;AASK, JAMA 2002;Captopril Trial, N Engl J Med, 1993;Hannadouche et.al B Med J, 1994; Bakris et.al Kidney Int., 1996;Bakris et.al Hypertension, 1997;IDNT- NEJM, 2001;RENAAL-NEJM, 2001; ABCD, Diabetes Care (Suppl), 2000



2 Phases of AASK

• Trial with a 2 x 3 factorial design (completed Sept 2001)

1,094 African-Americans with non-diabetic, hypertensive CKD (GFR of 20-65 ml/min/1.73 m²)

Initial therapy with:

Low BP Goal: MAP < 92 Usual BP Goal: MAP 102-7

Ramipril	Amlodipine	Metoprolol
А	В	С
D	E	F

- Cohort phase (completed June 2007)
 - All participants received recommended BP therapy:
 - ACEI (or ARB)
 - BP goal < 130/80 mmHg

- 1º outcome: composite 2X sCreatinine, ESRD, or death

Mean Arterial Pressure During Follow-up



Composite Clinical Events: Declining GFR Event, ESRD or Death by BP Goal



Wright JT Jr. et.al. JAMA 2002

Cumulative Incidence of the Composite Primary Outcome, According to Baseline Proteinuria Status.



Appel LJ et al. N Engl J Med 2010;363:918-929.

What is the Goal BP and Initial Therapy in Kidney Disease or Diabetes to Reduce CV Risk?

Group	Goal BP (mmHg)	Initial Therapy
Canadian HTN Soc (2010)	<130/80	ACE Inhibitor/ARB*
ADA (2010)	<130/80	ACE Inhibitor/ARB*
ASH (2008)	<130/80	ACE Inhibitor/ARB*^
KDOQI (NKF) (2007)	<130/80	ACE Inhibitor/ARB*
ESH (2007)	<130/80	ACE Inhibitor/ARB*
JNC 7 (2003)	<130/80	ACE Inhibitor/ARB*
Canadian HTN Soc. (2002)	<130/80	ACE Inhibitor/ARB*
Am. Diabetes Assoc (2002)	<130/80	ACE Inhibitor/ARB*
Natl. Kidney Foundation (2000)	<130/80	ACE Inhibitor*
British HTN Soc. (1999)	<140/80	ACE Inhibitor
WHO/ISH (1999)	<130/85	ACE Inhibitor
JNC VI (1997)	<130/85	ACE Inhibitor

Impact of ACE Inhibition on Blood Pressure and GFR: Acute vs. Chronic Effects



*P<0.05 compared to baseline

Complications Associated with CKD

- Hypertension: CKD and CV disease
- Dyslipidemia: CKD progression and CV disease
- Anemia: CKD progression and CV disease
- Cardiovascular disease and mortality
- Diabetes: CKD progression and CV disease
- Osteodystrophy
- Malnutrition
- Metabolic Acidosis

Summary

- Advanced CKD is growing by an alarming degree
- Ideal steps for prevention include a program of CV risk reduction, i.e. glycemic, lipid and BP control. Additionally, avoid dehydration, routine NSAID or Tylenol use.
- In those with established CKD-<3 grams sodium daily, BP <140/90, HbA 1 c <7% and LDL <70

If Blood Pressure >130/80 mm Hg in Diabetes (*eGFR* ≥ 50 ml/min^)

